



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/600,239 | 06/19/2003 | Kevin T. Ivers | 7340 US 6095 | | |
| 7590 05/11/2006 | | EXAMINER | | | |
| TEKTRONIX, INC. | | | YENKE, BRIAN P | | |
| Francis I. Gray, P.O. Box 500 | MS 50-LAW | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| Beaverton, OR 97077 | | | 2622 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/11/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Applicat | ion No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/600,2 | 239 | IVERS, KEVIN T | • | | | | |
| | | Examine | er · | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | BRIAN F | P. YENKE | 2622 | | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any (| ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL assions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | LING DATE OF T 17 CFR 1.136(a). In no ecation. pry period will apply and t, by statute, cause the ap | 'HIS COMMUNICATIO event, however, may a reply be tinushing the will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from optication to become ABANDONE | N. mely filed the mailing date of this of the (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1)□ | Responsive to communication(s) filed of | nn | | | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me | | | | | | | | | |
| , — | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | • | , | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-7,11-19,21-24 and 28-31</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7)🖂 | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | |
| 8)□ | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | | |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | | | | |
| A 441 | | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) | | 4) 🔲 Internitory Over- | (DTO 442) | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (P10-692) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0- | -948) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D | ate | | | | | |
| | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | Patent Application (PT | O-152) | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 11-14 and 28-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "persistence" in claims 1-14 and 28-31 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "persistence" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. The term persistence as defined in Websters, 10th edition is "the act or fact of persisting". The examiner requests the applicant to explain how the system provides "persistence" to the portion as recited in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention lacks patentable utility.

Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either an asserted utility or a well established utility.

The claims merely recite/describe a display, where no inventive steps/logic are recited. The claims are descriptive in nature, and the meets and bounds of the claims are unknown since a description of a display is recited. The claims as written do not provide any evidence of what the applicant invented.

Claim 15-17 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either an asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 4-6, 18-19 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Penney, US 4,707,727.

In considering claims 1 and 18,

a) the claimed means for deriving... is met by the system as shown in Fig 4, where the gamut error is output from the OR gate 16.

Application/Control Number: 10/600,239 Page 4

Art Unit: 2622

b) the claimed means for generating... is met by the system (Fig 4) which derives a gamut error (if present) and modifies the incoming video signals which includes the chrominance/luminance components of the signal which is then display via modifier 18/monitor 20.

In considering claims 2 and 19,

a) the claimed means for generating... is met by the system which generates a magnitude of the chrominance and luminance components (R-Y,B-Y and Y) via resistive matrix 10 which is compared with a maximum reference signal via comparators 14G,B,R as shown.

In considering claims 4-5 and 21-22

- a) the claimed means for converting... is met by resistive matrix 10 which converts the Y,B-Y,R-Y signals into GBR form respectively.
- b) the claimed means for comparing... is met by comparators 14G,B, R and 12G,B,R which compare the component signals with the ref max and min respectively.

In considering claims 6 and 23,

Given the broadest interpretation, Penney discloses capturing the video signal when the gamut error is present or not, meeting the claimed limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Penney, US 4,707,727.

In considering claims 7 and 24,

Penney does not explicitly recite "time stamping". The concept/practice of time stamping in the gamut correction field is used to log/account at what time the video signal encountered an error and thus allows the system to account and correct for this period based upon the time the error occurred, thus the examiner takes "OFFICIAL NOTICE" regarding the use of such for the advantages as noted above, since the inclusion of time stamping in Penney would have provided the same advantages.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3, 8-10, 20 and 25-27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure—see newly cited references on attached form PTO-892.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Yenke whose telephone number is (571)272-7359. The examiner work schedule is Monday-Thursday, 0730-1830 hrs.

Art Unit: 2622

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, David L. Ometz, can be reached at (571)272-7593.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571)-273-8300

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is

(703)305-HELP.

General information about patents, trademarks, products and services offered by the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), and other related information is available by contacting the USPTO's General Information Services Division at:

800-PTO-9199 or 703-308-HELP

(FAX) 703-305-7786

(TDD) 703-305-7785

An automated message system is available 7 days a week, 24 hours a day providing informational responses to frequently asked questions and the ability to order certain documents. Customer service representatives are available to answer questions, send materials or connect customers with other offices of the USPTO from 8:30 a.m. - 8:00p.m. EST/EDT, Monday-Friday excluding federal holidays.

For other technical patent information needs, the Patent Assistance Center can be reached through customer service representatives at the above numbers, Monday through Friday (except federal holidays) from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST/EDT.

The Patent Electronic Business Center (EBC) allows USPTO customers to retrieve data, check the status of pending actions, and submit information and applications. The tools currently available in the Patent EBC are Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) and the Electronic Filing System (EFS).

PAIR (http://pair.uspto.gov) provides customers direct secure access to their own patent application status information, as well as to general patent information publicly available. EFS allows customers to electronically file patent application documents securely via the Internet. EFS is a system for submitting new utility patent applications and pre-grant publication submissions in electronic publication-ready form. EFS includes software to help customers prepare submissions in extensible Markup Language (XML) format and to assemble the various parts of the application as an electronic submission package. EFS also allows the submission of Computer Readable Format (CRF) sequence listings for pending biotechnology patent applications, which were filed in paper form.

B.Þ\Y 09 May 06 BRIAN P. YENKE PRIMARY EXAMINE